CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL AND SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL: CAMBRIDGE SUB REGION RETAIL STUDY (October 2008)

AMENDMENTS: AUGUST 2009

- 1.1 Since the publication of the Cambridge Sub Region Retail Study in October 2008, it has become apparent that there are a number of technical discrepancies. This note explains the changes that have been made in the report re-issued to the Councils in August 2009.
- 1.2 Since the publication of the Retail Study, it was noted that there was an error with the labelling of Zones 1 and 2, and that Zone 1 is in fact Zone 2 and vice versa. An amended survey area plan has been provided.
- 1.3 Research and Marketing who undertook the telephone surveys have confirmed that the correct postcode sectors for Zones 1 and 2 are:-
 - Zone 1 CB3 0, CB4 0/1/2/3/6/9, CB5 8
 - Zone 2 CB1 1/2/3/7/8/9, CB2 1/2/3, CB3 9
- 1.4 It was therefore found that that the expenditure per capita figures for both convenience and comparison goods had been incorrectly applied to the market shares in Zones 1 and 2. For example, the market shares for Zone 1 were being applied to the expenditure for Zone 2 and vice versa. This has implications for the capacity forecasts and the turnover estimates of each store and revised modelling tables have been re-issued to the Councils.
- 1.5 In addition, it was found that some of the composite market shares for 'main' and 'top-up' food shopping in Zone 3 were incorrect. For example, the original study showed that Sainsbury's in Coldham Lane had a market share of 0%. In fact for 'main' food shopping trips, Sainsbury's has a market share of 8.2% and a market share of 4.8% for 'top-up', which gives a composite market share of 7.4% using a 75%/25% 'main' to 'top-up' weighting. This has been corrected and it has increased the market share of various foodstores and has affected their turnover and subsequent capacity forecasts.
- 1.6 The following tables set out the revised baseline capacity forecasts for convenience and comparison goods across the sub-region. The original capacity forecasts are given in brackets.



Local Authority	2008-2011	2008-2016	2008-2021
	(sqm net)	(sqm net)	(sqm net)
Cambridge City	2,813 <i>(2,172)</i>	5,641 <i>(4,485)</i>	7,075 <i>(5,882)</i>
South Cambridgeshire	2,758 (1,958)	4,625 <i>(3,873)</i>	6,315 <i>(5,335)</i>
Total Cambridge Sub Region	5,570 <i>(4,130)</i>	10,265 <i>(8,359)</i>	13,390 <i>(11,216)</i>

Table 1: Baseline Capacity Forecasts for Convenience Goods (sqm net)

Source: GVA Capacity Modelling, Tables 18, 19 and 20 Appendix 5.

Table 2: Baseline Capacity Forecasts for Comparison Goods (sqm net)

Local Authority	2008-2011	2008-2016	2008-2021
	(sqm net)	(sqm net)	(sqm net)
Cambridge City	13,847 <i>(13,859)</i>	45,464 <i>(45,527)</i>	82,790 <i>(82,828)</i>
South Cambridgeshire	149 <i>(163)</i>	562 <i>(636)</i>	1,060 <i>(1,104)</i>
Total Cambridge Sub Region	13,977 <i>(14,022)</i>	46,026 <i>(46,163)</i>	83,850 <i>(83,932)</i>

Source: GVA Capacity Modelling, Tables 12, 13 and 14, Appendix 6.

Table 3: Global Capacity Forecasts for Convenience Goods with Pipeline Schemes (sqm net)

	2008-2011	2008-2016	2008-2021
	(sqm net)	(sqm net)	(sqm net)
Cambridge Sub Region	4,913 <i>(3,473)</i>	4,244 <i>(2,338)</i>	1,272 <i>(-902)</i>

Source: GVA Capacity Modelling, Table 21, Appendix 5.

Table 4: Capacity Forecasts for Comparison Goods with Pipeline Schemes (sqm net)

	2008-2011	2008-2016	2008-2021
	(sqm net)	(sqm net)	(sqm net)
Cambridge Sub Region	12,647 <i>(12,673)</i>	44,186 <i>(44,323)</i>	61,859 <i>(61,941)</i>

Source: GVA Capacity Modelling, Table 16, Appendix 6.

Table 5: Capacity Forecasts for Comparison Goods with Changes to Competing Centre Market Shares (sqm net)

	2008-2011	2008-2016	2008-2021
	(sqm net)	(sqm net)	(sqm net)
Cambridge Sub Region (baseline)	10,194 <i>(10,220)</i>	33,023 <i>(33,160)</i>	67,919 <i>(68,001)</i>
Cambridge Sub Region (with pipeline schemes)	8,844 <i>(8,870)</i>	31,183 <i>(31,319)</i>	45,928 (46,010)

Source: GVA Capacity Modelling, Tables 15 and 17, Appendix 6.



- 1.7 We have reviewed the conclusions of the original retail study in light of the revised capacity forecasts and we consider that our findings remain relevant.
- 1.8 The following documents have been re-issued to the Councils, as well as an amended version of the final report including plans and appendices:-
 - Main Report;
 - Plan 2 Study Area and Household Telephone Survey Zones;
 - Plan 3 Comparison Expenditure Flows to Competing Centres;
 - Plan 4 Cambridge Comparison Goods Market Share;
 - Plan 5 Peterborough Comparison Goods Market Share;
 - Plan 6 Bury Comparison Goods Market Share;
 - Plan 7 Stevenage Comparison Goods Market Share;
 - Plan 8 Huntingdon Comparison Goods Market Share;
 - Plan 9 Newmarket Comparison Goods Market Share;
 - Plan 10 Ely Comparison Goods Market Share;
 - Appendix 1a Competing Centres Modelling;
 - Appendix 1c Competing Centres Key Indicators;
 - Appendix 5 Convenience Goods Modelling;
 - Appendix 6 Comparison Goods Modelling; and
 - Appendix 10 Northstowe Impact Modelling

